

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,585	12/05/2001 ·	Timothy R. Spooner	Analog 5721-5	3538
. 7	590 08/06/2003			
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens LLP Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER	
			HOGANS, DAVID L	
Boston, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ (Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/007,585	SPOONER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David L. Hogans	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	<u>9 May 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-23,30,31 and 46-60 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-11,24-29,32-45 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Election filed on May 9, 2003.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Michael Nickerson on July 18, 2003.

The application has been amended as follows:

The original Restriction requirement, Paper No. 6, placed Claims 30 and 31 within Group II, a Class 438 category. As Claims 30 and 31 are device claims, they are more appropriately categorized in Class 257. Therefore, Group I of Paper No. 6 now comprises the following claims: Claims 12-23, 30, 31 and 46-60.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II/Claims 1-11, 24-29 and 32-45 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner has failed to provide a prima facie case that the device can be made by another and materially different process. This is not found persuasive because the tape can be mounted to the wafer/die after dicing instead of mounting the dicing tape before dicing. Furthermore, as Group I (Class 257) and Group II (Class 438) have acquired a separate

Application/Control Number: 10/007,585

Art Unit: 2813

status within the art, as denoted in the April 3, 2003, Office Action, they present an undue examining burden upon the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

New Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species I – appears to relate to Claims 1-11 and 24-25 (noting Applicant's specification page 8 lines 10-17)

Species II – appears to relate to Claims 26-29 (noting Applicant's specification page 8 lines 25-30)

Species III – appears to relate to Claims 32-45 (noting Applicant's specification page 5 lines 27-33)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 26 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,585

Art Unit: 2813

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Hogans whose telephone number is (703) 305-3361. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (703) 308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

dh 124 July 18, 2003

CARL WHITEHEAD JR.

UPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800